Title: Impact Assessment of an Association Agreement between the	Impact Assessment (IA)			
European Union and Moldova	Date: 24/11/2014			
IA No:	Stage: Final Source of intervention: EU			
Lead department or agency: BIS				
Other departments or agencies:	Type of measure: Other			
FCO, HMT, CO	Contact for enquiries: Chris Thomas 020 7215 2143			
Cummony Intervention and Ontions	BBC Opinion: GREEN			

Summary: Intervention and Options

Cost of Preferred (or more likely) Option						
Total Net Present Value	Business Net Present Value	Net cost to business per year (EANCB on 2009 prices)	In scope of One-In, Measure qualifies Two-Out?			
£6.2m	£8m	-£0.7m	No	NA		

What is the problem under consideration? Why is government intervention necessary?

The European Commission, on behalf of its member states, has been negotiating an Association Agreement (AA) with Moldova. There are trade barriers between the EU and Moldova, which distort the market price, resulting in less competition and choice for UK consumers, and prevent UK businesses from fully exploiting export opportunities. The AA will help reduce these barriers. The reforms to which Moldova has committed under the AA, including adopting a large part of the EU's legal frameworks (acquis), are designed to help Moldova become a stronger partner for the EU and UK and focus on helping Moldova to develop as a free-market economy underpinned by democracy and rule of law .

What are the policy objectives and the intended effects?

The AA increases Moldova's association with the EU by establishing conditions for enhanced economic and trade relations, leading towards Moldova's gradual integration in the EU Internal Market. It supports Moldovan efforts to complete the transition into a functioning market economy by progressively adapting Union legislation. For the UK, this means benefitting from the reduction in Moldovan tariffs and trading with a larger Moldovan market. A stable, secure, prosperous and well-governed Moldova also has huge strategic significance for the UK and the EU in terms of energy security, migration issues and the future of the eastern neighbourhood region and Russia.

What policy options have been considered, including any alternatives to regulation? Please justify preferred option (further details in Evidence Base)

Significant parts of the Agreement have been provisionally applied and, therefore, the UK has an obligation under EU law to give effect to them. A failure to give effect to these parts may result in infraction proceedings. In addition, if the UK ultimately fails to ratify, there is also a risk that it may be infracted by the Commission. Moreover, the Agreement is expected to generate benefits for both UK industry and consumers. The UK was involved in detailed negotiations on the scope of the Agreement and the text at every stage of the process, and UK Parliamentary scrutiny has been carried out at every stage. Therefore, the UK Government faces only one policy option, which is to support a full implementation of the EU-Moldova Association Agreement.

Will the policy be reviewed? It will not be reviewed. If applicable, set review date: Month/Year						
Does implementation go beyond minimum EU requirements? No						
Are any of these organisations in scope? If Micros not exempted set out reason in Evidence Base.	Micro Yes	< 20 Yes	SmallMediumLargYesYesYes			
What is the CO_2 equivalent change in greenhouse gas emissi (Million tonnes CO_2 equivalent)	Traded: N/Q	Non- N/Q	traded:			

I have read the Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that, given the available evidence, it represents a reasonable view of the likely costs, benefits and impact of the leading options.

Signed by the responsible SELECT SIGNATORY:

Lef Medis

Date: 4 February 2015

Summary: Analysis & Evidence

Description:

FULL ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

Price Base	PV Bas	se	Time Period	Net Benefit (Present Value (PV)) (£m)					
Year 2009 Year				Low:		High:	Best Estimate: £6.	2m	
COSTS (£n							otal Cost		
(Constant Price		(Constant Price)	Years	(excl. Tran	sition) (Constant Price)	(Pres	ent Value)		
Low									
High	_	-	N/0	1		00.0		01 7	
Best Estimate			N/Q			£0.2m		£1.7m	
Description and scale of key monetised costs by 'main affected groups' Estimated loss of UK Government tariff revenue from imports from Moldova.									
Other key non-monetised costs by 'main affected groups' There will be adjustment costs to UK businesses from increased competition from Moldovan businesses. These are expected to be minimal, as UK imports from Moldova represent around 0.01% of total UK imports and it is unlikely that many Moldovan exporters will be in direct competition with UK firms. There will also be minimal one-off costs to firms, enforcers, customs and government officials from reading and understanding the text of this Agreement.									
BENEFITS	(£m)		Total Tr a (Constant Price)	ansition Years	5			I Benefit ent Value)	
Low			<u> </u>						
High									
Best Estimate	e		N/Q			£0.9m		£8m	
Description and scale of key monetised benefits by 'main affected groups' Benefit to UK exporters from reduction of Moldovan tariff barriers, allowing 99.3% of the current value of UK exports to Moldova to be tariff-free within ten years.									
Other key no	n-mone	tised b	enefits by 'mai	n affected	d groups'				
Increase in UK welfare and GDP from trading with larger, more open Moldovan market. Productivity and efficiency gains as a result of increased competition, lower prices and higher nominal wages overall. Benefits to consumers through positive externalities from an increase in competition.									
Key assumptions/sensitivities/risksDiscount rate (%)3.5									
Benefits based on analysis of current trade and tariff reduction schedule specified in the Agreement, to be implemented from 1 September 2014 onwards. Assumption that exports to Moldova remain at current levels - likely to be an underestimate. Assumption that UK exporters capture all tariff savings from Moldovan liberalisation, and Moldovan exporters capture all tariff savings from EU liberalisation. Geopolitical risks to implementation timelines.									
BUSINESS ASSESSMENT (Option 1)									

Direct impact on bus	iness (Equivalent Annua	In scope of OITO?	Measure qualifies as	
Costs: N/Q	Benefits: £0.7m	Net: £0.7m	No	NA